

----- Forwarded message -----

From: David Chandler

Date: Fri, Aug 27, 2021 at 1:55 PM

Subject: Doxing, etc.

To: Andy Steele <No\_Lies\_Radi

CC: Richard Gage

Hello Andy,

Just to put everything on the record about the doxing accusation.

1. I was not personally aware of any overt doxing. However, after getting the email from you I called Adam Fitzgerald and found out that he was referring to Eric Sandstrom engaging in both threatening and doxing, and that he had already talked to you, and that he told you right up front that he was talking about Eric Sandstrom
2. Eric Sandstrom is a prominent Craig McKee follower who trolled me on Facebook for months and posted over 800 denunciations of me in a 4 month period. I personally remember his threatening comment that he knew where I lived. This threat was in fact the motivation for our hiring an armed guard at the Denver Conference on the Pentagon Evidence. What I was not aware of is that, according to Adam Fitzgerald, Eric had also actually doxed me and a number of other people in a literal sense. This was seen by him, Simon Faulkner, and others, but that the page was later taken down.
3. If you watch Adam's video you will see that he did not accuse Kelly or any other specific individual, in that video, of doing the doxing. He left it as "lapdogs" and "little idiots" who acted as motivated by Kelly David and Craig McKee. This is consistent with the fact that when asked by you, Adam immediately pointed to Eric Sandstrom, not Kelly.
4. You knew all of this up front, but then you contacted me anyway demanding that I account for Adam's words and specifically the supposed charge that he had identified Kelly as doxing me. You said Adam had accused Kelly and certain other specific individuals. YOU KNEW HE SAID NO SUCH THING BECAUSE HE TOLD YOU EXACTLY WHAT HE MEANT, YET YOU TRIED TO DIG FOR A STATEMENT FROM ME ANYWAY ON A FALSE PREMISE.
5. Based on my past interactions with you, you have not earned my trust. I suspected that you were digging for a comment you could somehow use against me, so I simply said to go ask Adam.
6. I have spoken to Adam again to clarify the details. He said that when Eric Sandstrom doxed me he mentioned where I worked. (That information was erroneous, because I was retired by then.) Kelly David then replied back on that thread with a comment something like, well, maybe someone should pay him a visit. I take that as a personal threat. Adam said he does not have a copy of this interaction but it happened. It's probably his word against hers on that point.

--David Chandler

RE: Fwd: Doxing, etc.

Mon 8/30/2021 3:46 PM

From: "Andrew Steele"

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: "Kelly David", "Richard Gage"



David,

First let me inform you that my work email is [REDACTED] and has been for a long time. It's the email I wrote to you from only a few weeks ago. I'm not sure why you would write to me at some obscure No Lies Radio general inbox instead of replying to the last email I sent you, but Please note my correct email address for any future correspondence.

Regarding your claims...

***1. I was not personally aware of any overt doxing. However, after getting the email from you I called Adam Fitzgerald and found out that he was referring to Eric Sandstrom engaging in both threatening and doxing, and that he had already talked to you, and that he told you right up front that he was talking about Eric Sandstrom.***

David, I shouldn't have to say this to an educated person, but if one is talking about Eric Sandstrom then one needs to say his name and not just attribute his supposed actions to everyone on the pro-impact crowd's enemies list. To smear other people based on the actions of someone else is not just a dishonest sleight of hand, but it's a desperate Hail Mary pass. It's not being done out of genuine concern or disgust but rather out of opportunistic targeting of others. The fact that you're trying to back Adam Fitzgerald up with this spin shows that you're just as dishonest as he is.

Eric Sandstrom is a nut. That's a given. He's even out there blaming AE now for orchestrating 9/11. I don't know the details of his history with you, and I don't really care since he wasn't mentioned among the names Fitzgerald rambled off as having threatened and doxed you. Sandstrom's name only came up when I cornered Fitzgerald like a trapped rat and he needed to find something to say.

It's just speculation and maybe wishful thinking on your part to insinuate that Sandstrom

is working as an agent of Kelly or any of the other people Fitzgerald mentioned. Nobody is sending anyone to harass you, David. As important as you obviously like to think you are, nobody has time for that, nor would they want to give you that kind of satisfaction or ammunition. I have nuts that harass me, too, but I don't dishonestly blame other people I don't like for their actions. You and Fitzgerald are using Sandstrom as a scapegoat to cover for the fact that Fitzgerald lied. I say "you and Fitzgerald" because here you are defending it.

***2. Eric Sandstrom is a prominent Craig McKee follower who trolled me on Facebook for months and posted over 800 denunciations of me in a 4 month period. I personally remember his threatening comment that he knew where I lived. This threat was in fact the motivation for our hiring an armed guard at the Denver Conference on the Pentagon Evidence. What I was not aware of is that, according to Adam Fitzgerald, Eric had also actually doxed me and a number of other people in a literal sense. This was seen by him, Simon Faulkner, and others, but that the page was later taken down.***

Again with Eric Sandstrom, David. Does this spin actually work on other people you talk to?

I take these denunciations you rattle off with a grain of salt ever since you challenged me to see the number of "denunciations" of you that allegedly came up on Truth and Shadows after typing your name in the search box. You got the search result numbers right, but not the context. Most of the articles that came up said innocuous things like, "I haven't started reading David Chandler's paper yet..." or came up because other articles with your name in it were suggested articles at the bottom.

But let's say that your Sandstrom numbers are right...that's the guy saying that AE did 9/11. What does that have to do with Kelly, or Sheila, or the two Adams? And he's now attacking Craig McKee along with Richard and Kelly and just about everyone in the Truth Movement, so it is no longer correct to call him Craig's "follower."

You keep talking about Sandstrom like he's a problem for you, but after reading this email it seems he's the best gift you've ever received. With him you can just point to something he allegedly did and say, "Look at what Craig and Kelly did...and anyone else I don't like!"

Pathetic.

And since you seem comfortable with speculation, I'll state for the record that for all I know, Sandstrom could be working for your side, trying to make your opponents look bad. Sad to say, but at this point I wouldn't put it past the people in your clique.

**3. If you watch Adam's video you will see that he did not accuse Kelly or any other specific individual, in that video, of doing the doxing. He left it as "lap dogs" and "little idiots" who acted as motivated by Kelly David and Craig McKee. This is consistent with the fact that when asked by you, Adam immediately pointed to Eric Sandstrom, not Kelly.**

David, now you're just outright lying.

The quote is:

"..and that's who you employ, and because of their pressure, and because of what they did to people like David Chandler, Wayne Coste, and Ken Jenkins...black-balled them, called them names, had their little lap dogs like Adam Syed and Adam Ruff and all the other idiots likes Sheila Casey...Kelly David...went to threaten these people, and told them that they're idiots, and doxed them..."

Listen to it again if you didn't hear it right the first time. He's very specific.

And to even imply that Sandstrom is motivated by Craig or Kelly is dishonest. Neither Craig nor Kelly ever told anyone to harass you, and deep down you know it. So cut the phony persecuted act.

Your strategy in this email seems to rely on separating the threatening claim from the doxing claim, saying that Fitzgerald didn't accuse Kelly directly of doxing you while saying it's her word against his on the threatening part. However, you and Fitzgerald need to get your stories straight, because in a rebuttal video that he sent me, he doubled down specifically on the Kelly doxing claim.

<https://www.facebook.com/AdamFitz1969/videos/143216454715>

Got to 1:05:52. Quote:

"Fitzgerald can't back up doxing claim to Andy...I basically told you, it's my word against hers. I admit that. That's not me not backing up doxing. I know she did."

Go back further in that video to 1:00:29:

He plays the audio and says, "I don't back away from these claims." He even nods affirmatively when the doxing part comes up.

The guy you're trying to cover for is undermining your argument, David. Maybe you should advise your client to stop talking.

**4. You knew all of this up front, but then you contacted me anyway demanding that I account for Adam's words and specifically the supposed charge that he had identified Kelly as doxing me. You said Adam had accused Kelly and certain other specific individuals. YOU KNEW HE SAID NO SUCH THING BECAUSE HE TOLD YOU EXACTLY WHAT HE MEANT, YET YOU TRIED TO DIG FOR A STATEMENT FROM ME ANYWAY ON A FALSE PREMISE.**

No, I was actually looking to slam him on the show with a quote from you stating that you are not aware of ever being threatened or doxed by those people.

I wrote you the first email before contacting Fitzgerald on the same day.

I figured, since he seemed to be BFFs with the guy who had stolen another person's identity on Facebook (Nelson Martins), I wouldn't likely get an honest answer from him. And I didn't.

That's what I reported on the show, and the times of the messages can be looked up.

Write in all the capital letters you want, David, but that's the simple truth.

**5. Based on my past interactions with you, you have not earned my trust. I suspected that you were digging for a comment you could somehow use against me, so I simply said to go ask Adam.**

There are probably billions of people in this world that I don't trust, but if any one of them ever asked me if David Chandler ever threatened or doxed me, I would honestly tell them, "Well, he appears to be incredibly dishonest and tried to get me and other people fired as part of his fanatical Pentagon inquisition, but threatening and doxing? No, he never did anything of the kind."

It's amusing how you're trying to spin this back on me, as if the fact that you refused to

invalidate this claim is somehow my fault. It's fine that you don't like me, David, but refusing to answer a simple question truthfully is all on you.

You're dishonestly trying to imply that there's a conspiracy against you, when it's your crowd that quietly conducts campaigns against people who openly disagree with you. You act like you have nothing to do with these Internet brownshirts, such as Fitzgerald, while you bend over backwards to defend their lies and push their spin.

**6. I have spoken to Adam again to clarify the details. He said that when Eric Sandstrom doxed me he mentioned where I worked. (That information was erroneous, because I was retired by then.) Kelly David then replied back on that thread with a comment something like, well, maybe someone should pay him a visit. I take that as a personal threat. Adam said he does not have a copy of this interaction but it happened. It's probably his word against hers on that point.**

Yeah, Adam is spitting out that "her word vs his" nonsense because he has nothing else to say. That's what liars who are caught lying do. He's pulling this out of his rear end, and you're standing behind him helping him do it.

You two shouldn't forget that there's three other names that he accuses of participating in the threatening, which will require him to fabricate a longer conversation thread in his mind. I suggest you two get to work on that.

In conclusion...

You claim you're setting the record straight with this email, but all you're doing is burying yourself deeper. What I'm seeing here from Mr. "Scientific Method" is a bunch of speculation, spin, lies, and claims based on the empty word of a proven liar (Fitzgerald). This is a hopeless attempt on your part to justify the lies of a sad miscreant who conveniently smears the names of people that you yourself are unable to push around, while you sit back and play innocent. You might be able to fool other people in the movement who, out of wishful thinking, want to believe that you're a genuine person, but you're not fooling me.

David, I'm not expecting you to do the right thing and admit that Fitzgerald's claims are a bunch of hogwash or to denounce the behaviour of these Internet brownshirts. However, if you ever wish to surprise me by emailing me a direct and honest statement that does, without a bunch of whining about your Pentagon rivals and me mixed in, I'll be happy to read it on whatever Free Fall follows my receipt of it. Otherwise there's

nothing left for us to say to each other. If you and the others want to continue to conduct this weasley whispering effort against people at 9/11 organizations, and/or this public smear campaign, then I'll happily shine a light on it whenever I choose.

I'm not interested in "healing" or whatever phony rhetoric you spew out to people you think you can still snow over. What I learned from my conversation with you on the phone is that you're not interested in compromise. Instead you have an agenda, and you'll spin as much as you think you can get away with in order to push it.

That's the bottom line and the extent of my offer. Take it or leave it.

Andy